

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Moulton, R. et al.	Examiner: Oh, T.V.
Application No.: 10/642,438	Art Unit: 1625
Filed: August 15, 2003	Atty. Docket No.: SAX-008.01 (formerly <i>SOU747/4-8US</i>)
Title: <i>Ionic Liquids Containing a Sulfonate Anion</i>	

Attention: Office of Petitions
Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENTS ESTABLISHING UNINTENTIONAL DELAY

The Applicants assert that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Applicants assert that the entire delay was due to an inaccurate and incomplete characterization and explanation by the Applicants' former patent counsel of the factual and legal issues raised by the Examiner in the Non-Final Office Action, mailed December 26, 2006, in the above-identified application. Therefore, the entire delay was unintentional because it was based on a misapprehension of the aforementioned factual and legal issues, which was not due to any error of commission or omission on the part of the Applicants.

Fees

The Applicants believe they have provided for all fees due in connection with the filing of this paper. Nevertheless, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional required fee to our Deposit Account, **No. 06-1448**, reference **SAX-008.01**.

Conclusion

In view of the above statements, it is believed that the Petition for Revival under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is grantable. If a telephone conversation with the Applicants' Attorney would expedite revival of the above-identified application, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned at (617) 832-1000.

Respectfully submitted,
Patent Group

Foley Hoag LLP
155 Seaport Boulevard
Boston, MA 02210
Telephone: (617) 832-1000

/Dana M. Gordon/
Dana M. Gordon, PhD
Registration No. 44,719
Attorney for Applicants

Date: November 26, 2007